Central Bank Swap Lines

Saleem Bahaj Bank of England

The views expressed are those of the presenters and not necessarily those of the Bank of England, the MPC, the FPC or the PRC.

Ricardo Reis LSE

ECB - Global Research Forum 29th of November, 2018 cessarily those of the Bank of England, the

CB swap lines post 2007



The governor of the Reserve Bank of India on Sunday called on major central banks to extend their network of currency swap lines deep into emerging markets, saying a type of "virtual apartheid" in the provision of foreign currencies hampers efforts to fight financial instability." Wall Street Journal, October 15, 2017.



Sources: Central bank websites and Bank calculations.

Source: Denbeem, Jung, Paterno (2016)

(a) Includes swap lines under the Chiang Mai Initiative (b) Includes swap lines under the Chiang Mai Initiative.

c) Does not include swap lines under the Chiang Mai Initiative Multilateralization as this network is no longer based on bilateral swap lines. The value of the links in the uncapped advanced economy network are illustrative. For central banks which drew from the Federal Reserve in 2008/09 we assume they can draw from each of the other central banks in the network the smaller of (i) their maximum drawing from the Fed and (ii) the lending central bank's maximum drawing. For central banks which didn't draw we assume that they can draw an amount equivalent to the average past drawings relative to the GDP of the borrower, multiplied by that country's current GDP. The effective lines could be larger or smaller than these illustrative values. It is unlikely that a central bank would draw on all of these lines simultaneously.





This paper

- How do swap lines work and what is their role in monetary policy?
 - monitors.
- 2. How does this monetary policy transmit through financial markets? • Ceiling on CIP deviations => lower funding costs
- What economic consequences does this have? 3.
 - country's currency.

Empirics: difference-in-differences strategy around the change in Fed Swap Line Rate in November 2011.

Models: Not going to go into details today.

• Source country CB lending to recipient country banks. Recipient CB bears credit risk/

I. Encourage investment from recipient- country banks into assets denominated in the source-

2. Increases the expected profits of recipient-country banks that invest in source country.

I. Role in central banking: how the swap lines work

ECB borrowing USD from Fed

- Fed sells dollars to ECB, ECB sells euros back at today's spot exchange rate.
- Agree in one week to resell, so euros are collateral. 2.
- Settlement happens at the same exchange rate. 3.
- Fed charges an interest rate in dollars set at start (\$ OIS+spread). 4.
- ECB lends to EA bank, charges same rate, collect HQLA as collateral, 5. determines who is eligible.
- 6. ECB in charge of collecting payment.

collateral and bank.

Liquidity assistance to foreign bank using foreign central bank to do the monitoring of



Functions and alternatives

Properties

- US monetary policy on monetary base and rate, not EA monetary policy • No exchange-rate or interest-rate risk, ECB has credit risk as in any lending facility

Basic function of central banks:

- Fed: provide liquidity when there is a funding crisis
- ECB: judge banks eligible for liquidity assistance
- Not exchange-rate pegs, not IMF loans, not US bailout of foreign banks

Alternatives (beyond FX reserves):

- Fed lends directly to EZ banks through discount window/TAF? But (i) less efficient monitoring, Fed refuse, (ii) branches/subsidiaries did not have collateral; (iii) stigma.
- 2. EZ banks borrow euros from ECB buy dollars, swap out the currency risk? Spot and forward markets never closed, but cost...



2. Financial market effects of swap lines

Iheory

- Trade involving only a bank and the central bank (all in logs)
 - EZ bank borrows dollars for one week from ECB swap line, pay is
 - Buys euros at spot rate s, and sell forward at rate f in one week
 - Deposit euros at ECB at at rate *iv**
 - Swap overnight for one-week rate at cost i*-ip*

$$i_t^s \ge s_t - f_t +$$

Deviations from CIP:

 $x_t = s_t - f_t + i_t^* - i_t$

the recipient central bank policy and deposit rates:

 $x_t \le (i_t^s - i_t) + (i_t^{p*} - i_t^{v*})$

 $+(i_t^{v*}+i_t^*-i_t^{p*})$

Proposition: Deviations from covered interest parity have a ceiling given by the spread between the source swap and interbank rates plus the difference between



Further discussion: haircuts and regulation

Proposition: Bank-specific deviations from covered interest parity have a ceiling given by the spread between the source swap and interbank rates, plus the difference between the recipient central bank policy and deposit rates, plus the shadow value of collateral, plus the shadow cost of regulation on banks that is triggered by borrowing and lending from their central bank:

$$x_{a,t} \le (i_t^s - i_t) + (i_t^{p*} - i_t) + (i_t$$

- Two independent sources of policy variation, domestic and foreign
- Safe bank or sovereign fund: minimum.
- •Clear measure of CIP is the OIS one.





Euro (USD) basis, ECB ceiling



---Ceiling
$$(i_t^s - i_t) + (i_t^{p*} - i_t^{v*})$$

Difference-in-differences strategy

- On November 30, 2011, the Fed unexpectedly announced that from December 5th onwards it would lower swap rate spread from 1% to 0.5%. Motivation was to normalize the operations of the swap line.
- Exclusion restriction for identification with respect to CIP
 - The minutes of the meeting have no mention of recent I-week CIP
 - Our measures were not particularly elevated the days or weeks before the change.
 - Timing: outcome of lengthy discussions with foreign central banks.
 - The change affected all swap-line central banks, event though closer event was crisis in Euro-area (treated) and Nordic countries (untreated)
 - Size of the change partly random: serious discussion of 0.75% versus 0.5%
 - Surprise to markets, little anticipation effect





Difference-in-differences visually





03-12

02-12

01-12

Effect on distribution of daily CIP deviations









swap line currencies

Ceiling on quotes









Standard errors

$x_{j,t}$					
	Swap Line Currencies		Non-Swap-Line Currencies		D-in-D
	Before	After	Before	After	
Mean	.248	.153	.136	.219	178*
					(.092)
Median	.261	.117	.120	.144	134
					(.147)
25th Percentile	.411	.209	.456	.407	154
					(.108)
10th Percentile	.471	.279	.523	.613	269**
					(.012)

Notes: Swap line currencies refers to the EUR, GBP, CAD, JPY, and CHF. Non-swap line currencies refers to the AUD, NZD, SEK, NOK, and DKK. The dependent variable is the 1-week CIP deviation vis-a-vis the USD. Before refers to the days in November 2011 and after to the days in January 2012. Standard errors, block-bootstrapped at the currency level, are in brackets. The quantile difference-in-differences estimators are estimated simultaneously with the cross equation covariance matrix is estimated using bootstrapping. *** denotes statistical significance at the 1% level; ** 5% level;* 10% level.

Domestic variation

 $x_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta c_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$

	Baseline	Censored	Time fixed effect	Shorter sample
	x_{jt}	x_{jt}	x_{jt}	x_{jt}
Ceiling $(c_{j,t})$	0.1996***	0.6578*	0.1675^{**}	0.248***
	(0.037)	(0.249)	(0.057)	(0.039)
\overline{N}	9500	9500	950	8195
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.08	0.16	0.67	0.08

denotes statistical significance at the 1% level; ** 5% level; * 10% level.

Notes: Estimates of equation (5). The dependent variable is the 1-week CIP deviation of the CAD, CHF, EUR, GBP, and JPY vis-a-vis the USD. The sample runs from 19th September 2008 (the date of the first) multilateral Federal Reserve swap agreement) through to 31st December 2015. All regressions include currency fixed effects. Column (1): panel least squares estimator. Column (2): panel least squares estimator conditional on $x_{j,t}$ being in the 90th percentile of the unconditional distribution. Column (3): panel least squares estimator including time fixed effects. Column (4): Removes 2015 observations so the sample ends *** on the 31st of December of 2014. Standard errors, clustered by currency and date, are in brackets.



Further discussion: Equilibrium in Financial Markets

- Model Sketch:

 - Representative intermediary sells FX swaps, matched with bank OTC: • Two frictions generating CIP deviation:
 - Funding value adjustment (Andersen, Duffie, Song (18)).
 - Regulatory capital constraints (Du, Tepper, Verdelhan (18))
 - Nash bargaining over price of swap -- outside option is the swap line.

Proposition: A decrease in the policy choice *is* leads to: I. A lower **ceiling** in the distribution of bank-specific CIP quotes 2. A lower mean of the distribution of CIP deviations.



3. Macroeconomic effects of the swap lines: theory

Investment: Theoretical predictions

Proposition: An exogenous decrease in the swap line rate:

- 1. Lowers the ceiling and expected realizations of CIP deviations;
- 2. Raises investment by recipient-country banks in source-currency capital,;
- 3. Increases the expected profits of recipient-country banks that invest in sourcecurrency capital.

Empirical strategy I: investments

non-USD bonds

- Triple difference-in-difference
 - (i) across time: swap rate change, days before and after
 - (ii) across banks: swap and non swap line across currencies

 Banks in countries with access to USD via their central bank's swap line should demand more USD-denominated assets relative to other banks and relative to

(iii) across investments: USD-denominated bonds versus bonds in other currencies



Data

- ZEN database:
 - traded by UK-based firms (London financial center)
 - Individuals transactions, millions of observations. 26 (19) banks, 790 (69 bonds). • Aggregate to measure net daily flow from firm a, into corporate bond b, at trading date
 - t, scaled by average flow: *n_{a.b.t}*.
- Later, also:
 - All USD-bonds in BAML indices, separate those that are actively traded by swap line banks, then match them to those with similar characteristics.
 - All bank stock prices in recipient countries, separating those with U.S. presence.

All trades by EEA-regulated financial firms of either UK-issued corporate bonds or



Diff USD-other bonds per bank



Considering bank and asset fixed effects

 $n_{a,b,t} = \beta_t \times SwapLine_a \times USDBond_b + \alpha_{a,t} + \gamma_{b,t} + \varepsilon_{a,b,t}$



	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Fixed Effects			
	baseline	currency, bank	currency,	saturated
			bank, bond	
			char.	
$Post_t \times Swap_a$	0.0770*	0.0770*	0.0772*	0.0788*
$\times USDBond_b$	(0.042)	(0.041)	(0.041)	(0.042)
N	205227	205227	205227	205227
$bank \times period$ f.e.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
$bank \times currency$ f.e.	No	Yes	Yes	No
$bank \times issuer$ f.e.	No	No	Yes	No
$bank \times duration$ f.e.	No	No	Yes	No
$bank \times bond$ f.e.	No	No	No	Yes
$period \times currency$ f.e.	No	Yes	Yes	No
$period \times issuer$ f.e.	No	No	Yes	No
$period \times duration$ f.e.	No	No	Yes	No
$period \times bond$ f.e.	No	No	No	Yes

 $n_{a,b,t} = \beta \times Post_t \times SwapLine_a \times USDBond_b + \alpha_{.,t} + \varepsilon_{k,j,t}$

Effect on bond prices

- frequently traded bonds:
 - 8bp fall in average yields in five day window after announcement.
 - Not driven by Euro area issuers most likely to benefit.

	Nearest	Exact Match on	Dropping
	Neighbor	Euro Issuers	Euro-area Issuers
$for eignheld_b$	-0.0860**	-0.1221***	-0.1264***
	(0.036)	(0.036)	(0.038)
	5474	5474	5257

Nearest Neighbour estimator on similar USD bonds outside the sample of

Returns around swap rate line change



	Banks in Swap Line Countries with US presence Banks in Swap Line Countries without US presence			
	Other Banks	3		
	1	1	1	
	3	4	5	
trading d	lays			

Conclusion

- Central bank swap lines: large and integral.
- borrow domestically
- bonds traded by foreigners, increase in share price of foreign banks.

Swap line is the twin of the discount window when foreign banks invest and

 Swap line spread plus foreign difference between policy and deposit central bank rates put ceiling on CIP deviations, empirically there from both variations.

• Swap line encourages investment in dollar assets ex ante, prevents fire sales ex post. Empirically see *portfolio tilt* towards bonds, increase in price of USD

• Overall: eased funding pressure in cost of hedging foreign funding, choice of investments to fund, asset prices of those investments, stock price of investors





Appendix Material

Features and how large

- Further features:
 - different denominations.
 - Stronger effect on lower credit ratings, stronger effect for infrequent traders
- How large was effect of 0.5% fall in swap line rate?

 - government in the flow of funds: \$8.31 billion shift in capital flows.

• Triple difference allows us to control for bond specific factors, like shocks to the issuer's credit worthiness, and to identify shifts in preferences among banks for bonds of

• Within sample, increase in gross flows of \$230 million, 4.8% of their absolute flow.

• Extrapolating out of sample to all bonds issued by U.S. non-financial excluding the



Swap dollar funding allocation



Elasticity of al	lotment to	gain	
	$\log(q_{j,t}) = \alpha_j + \beta_j$	$\beta_j x_{j,t-1} + \varepsilon_{j,t}$	
	ECB: USD Auctions	BoJ: USD Auctions	ECB: EUR Auctio
	$\log(q_{j,t})$	$\log(q_{j,t})$	$\log(q_{j,t})$
$x_{j,t-1}$: CIP Deviation	2.2353***	2.4262***	
	(0.527)	(0.9891)	
$x_{j,t-1}$: 1-week Libor-OIS			1.5804^{***}
			(0.587)
N	217	90	388
Adjusted R^2	0.08	0.14	0.14

Elasticity of al	lotment to	gain			
$\log(q_{j,t}) = \alpha_j + \beta_j x_{j,t-1} + \varepsilon_{j,t}$					
	ECB: USD Auctions	BoJ: USD Auctions	ECB: EUR Auctio		
	$\log(q_{j,t})$	$\log(q_{j,t})$	$\log(q_{j,t})$		
$x_{j,t-1}$: CIP Deviation	2.2353***	2.4262***			
	(0.527)	(0.9891)			
$x_{j,t-1}$: 1-week Libor-OIS			1.5804^{***}		
			(0.587)		
N	217	90	388		
Adjusted R^2	0.08	0.14	0.14		

10% level.

Notes: Estimates of equation (6). CIP deviation is the 1-week EUR or JPY vis-a-vis the USD on the day prior to the auctions. We consider auctions where a positive amount is alloted between the 19th September 2008 (the date of the first multilateral Federal Reserve swap agreement) through to 31st December 2015. Robust standard errors are in brackets. *** denotes statistical significance at the 1% level; ** 5% level;*

