<u>Beyond Groceries: Financial Confidence and the Gender Gap in Inflation Expectations</u></u>

Lovisa Reiche

Department of Economics University of Oxford

lovisareiche.com

lovisa.reiche@economics.ox.ac.uk

@LovisaReche



Why do women have higher inflation expectations?

Groceries:

Experience

Traditional gender norms imply women observe more volatile food prices (Jonung, 1981; D'Acunto et al., 2021)

Beyond Groceries:

Experience x Financial Confidence

Only those with low financial confidence rely on grocery signals. Traditional gender norms and a gender gap in financial literacy jointly cause the gender gap in inflation expectations.

Why does it matter?

Distributional Concerns of Monetary Policy

Gender gaps matter for investment and consumption inequalities and may not disappear even when traditional gender norms are weakened.

Price Signals and Forecaster Confidence in a Bayesian Framework

A representative agent is asked to forecast inflation θ . $\log \theta \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_0, \frac{1}{\tau_0}\right)$ Financial Confidence $\frac{d\mathbb{E}(\theta|x)}{d\tau_0} < 0 \iff \mu_0 - \log x < \frac{1}{2\tau_x}$ She has a **prior**: $\log x = \log \theta + \epsilon,$ And receives an **unbiased signal**: where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{\tau_x}\right)$ Experience $\log \theta | x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\hat{\mu}, \frac{1}{\hat{\tau}}\right)$ $\frac{d\mathbb{E}(\theta|x)}{d\tau_x} < 0 \iff \log x - \mu_0 < \frac{1}{2\tau_0}$ Her **Bayesian posterior**:

Consumer inflation expectations are increasing in prior imprecision whenever either Average signals exceed the average of the prior

. Signals are sufficiently volatile

Consumer inflation expectations are increasing in signal volatility whenever either

- . Average prior expectations exceed the average of the signal
- Priors are sufficiently imprecise: low confidence fascilitates the experience channel

For a given log x, whenever $\mu_0 \in [\log x - \frac{1}{2\tau_0}, \log x + \frac{1}{2\tau_x}]$ the agent's inflation expectation are increasing in both, higher signal volatility and prior imprecision. Otherwise, the agent's inflation expectation are increasing in either higher signal volatility or prior imprecision.

Grocery Shopping and Financial Confidence in the Data

Bundesbank Online Panel (BOP-HH)

April 2020-September 2022 2.000 German households/month

Grocery Shopping

In your household, who is primarily respondsible for everyday purchases (e.g. grocery shopping)?

Traditional gender norms persist

External Validity NY FED Survey of Consumer Expectations June 2013 - November 2020 Michigan Survey of Consumers January 1978 - January 2023	shop_groceries shop_major prep_meals decide_finance sing Men 0.47 0.59 0.35 0.70 0. Women 0.75 0.56 0.76 0.60 0. Non-single sample: N=26,5 Full sample: N=48,1	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Result 1:Grocery shopping increases inflation expectationuntile of the financial literacy distributionInflation expectation (12 months ahead, point estimate) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2)	-	Prevalues $\frac{91501}{12}$ $\frac{91501}{12}$ $\frac{91501}{91501}$ 9150
<u>Robustness Exercises</u>	🛛 🖬 Female 🖉 Male	E Female Male

Financial Literacy + Confidence

Big Three: Lusardi & Mitchell 2008 (Januray 2022) Rounding (Binder 2017), repeated participation (Binder & Kim, 2017) and survey feedback

Gender gaps in financial confidence...

External Validity NY FED Survey of Consumer Expectations June 2013 - November 2020 Michigan Survey of Consumers January 1978 - January 2023	shop_groceries shop_major prep_meals decide_finance sing Men 0.47 0.59 0.35 0.70 0. Women 0.75 0.56 0.76 0.60 0. Non-single sample: N=26,5 Full sample: N=48,1	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Result 1:Grocery shopping increases inflation expectationuntile of the financial literacy distributionInflation expectation (12 months ahead, point estimate) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2)	-	Prevalues $\frac{91501}{12}$ $\frac{91501}{12}$ $\frac{91501}{91501}$ 9150
<u>Robustness Exercises</u>	🛛 🖬 Female 🖉 Male	E Female Male

Inflation

What do you think the rate of inflatin/deflation in Germany will roughly be over the next 12 months?

... matter for inflation expectations

fractional genaer norms persise	
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
<u>Result I:</u> Grocery shopping increases inflation expectatins only for individuals in the lowest quintile of the financial literacy distribution, dominated by women.	Result II: The gender gap in inflation expectations diminishes as financial confidence increases and is zero (or negative) for high financial confidence individuals.
$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	$\frac{11}{100} \frac{12}{2} \frac{2}{(0.3)} \frac{2}{(0.3)} \frac{2}{(0.01)} \frac{2}{(0.16)} \frac{2}{(0.16)} \frac{2}{(0.58)} \frac{1}{(0.58)} \frac{1}{(0.20)} \frac{1}{(0.20)} \frac{1}{(0.24)} \frac{1}{(0.24)$

Outlier impact:

gender gap emerges.

The gender gap in means is driven by the heavy tail in the fe-

Singles Analysis:

The gender gap is significant and no different between singles

Correlation with Historical Food Prices

The magnitude of the gender gap is unresponsive to the size of

SCE

 1.61^{***}

(0.07)

0.03

(0.02)

-0.03

(0.03)

-0.01

(0.01)

0.01

(0.02)

115,491

0.03

Standard errors in parentheses.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

MSC

 0.75^{***}

(0.02)

-0.06***

(0.01)

 -0.09^{***}

(0.01)

-0.03***

(0.01)

-0.01

(0.01)

259,755

0.03

male distribution. At lower percentiles a significantly negative and non-singles.

food price inflation relative to total inflation.

Inflation expectation (12 months ahead, point estimate)

BOP-HH

 1.32^{***}

(0.05)

 0.44^{***}

(0.01)

-0.01

(0.01)

 0.10^{***}

(0.005)

-0.001

(0.01)

111,085

0.08

Inflation expectation (12 months ahead, point estimate) MSC BOP-HH SCE Ν female (2)(5)(1)(3)(6)(4)1.27*** 1.39^{***} 2.01^{***} 0.85^{***} 0.89*** 1.30^{***} $\operatorname{CPI}_t^{food}$ - $\operatorname{CPI}_t^{total}$ female (0.08)(0.03)(0.05)(0.04)(0.08)(0.13)female x ($CPI_t^{food} - CPI_t^{total}$) 0.3 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 0.2 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 Percentiles Percentiles -0.613*** -0.0398 0.0281Deciles in Inflation Expectations Distribution Deciles in Inflation Expectation Distribution (0.057)(0.092)(0.154) — Estimated coefficient — Estimated coefficient $ho_{t,6}^{food}$ - $ho_{t,6}^{total}$ (a) BOP-HH (b) MSC 66,268 83,704 27,381 74,385 41,106 195,107Observations 0.130.110.13 \mathbb{R}^2 0.030.030.10female x $(\rho_{t,6}^{food} - \rho_{t,6}^{total})$ *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01 Standard errors in parentheses. Observations \mathbb{R}^2 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.4 0.1 Percentiles Deciles in Inflation Expectations Distribution — Estimated coefficient **DEPARTMENT OF** UNIVERSITY OF (c) SCE **ECONOMICS** OXFORD