The CO2 Question: Technical Progress and the Climate Crisis

Marcin Kacperczyk

Imperial College London

(with P. Bolton and M. Wiedemann)

ECB: November 2022

Climate Debate

- Global overheating is increasingly at the forefront of policy concerns
 - Tight link between carbon emissions and temperature changes (Hasselmann-Manabe, NP 2021)
 - The rise of decarbonization policies
 - The stated objective is to reduce carbon emissions sufficiently to avoid an average temperature rise of no more than 1.5 degrees Celsius by 2050 (net neutrality)
- Active debate on how to control emissions
 - Regulatory pressure may be insufficient to control emissions
 - Transition to a green economy also requires innovation in green technology
 - Implicit assumption in the debate is that green innovation leads to decarbonization...
 - ...but is this assumption validated in the data?
- This talk: take a global perspective on the role of green innovation in decarbonization

^CThe Role of Green Innovation

- Two views on the role of green innovation:
- 1) (Dominant) Green innovation fosters firm investments in green technologies and subsequent reduction in carbon footprint (e.g., Aghion et al. 2016; Cohen et al. 2022)
 - Brown firms change from carbon-intensive production to renewable production
 - Brown firms improve efficiency of their fossil fuel use
- 2) Green innovation may stimulate consumption/production (the demand for the product). An increased efficiency of technology could lead to greater use and ultimately an increase in carbon emissions

(Jevons (1865) paradox: The CO2 Question)

• Empirical large-scale firm-level evidence on the net effect of green innovation and its drivers is very limited

Context and Questions

Empirical Context: Global patenting activity

- A large sample of global firms with carbon emissions data from 81 countries
- Time period: 2005-2020
- What predicts green innovation of companies?
- What is the impact of green innovation on future corporate emissions and other policies?
- Are there spillovers to other companies?



Datasets

- We collect information on all firms (public and private) in Orbis IP Financial data, Factset, and Worldscope
 - Info on financial variables: assets, leverage, roe, capex, country of incorporation
- Merge with Orbis IP patent data
 - Info on global patents of public and private firms: USPO, JPO, and EUPO
- Firm-level data on pollution from S&P Global Trucost (Bolton and Kacperczyk, 2021)
 - Scope 1, scope 2, and scope 3 carbon emissions
 - Scope 1 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions occur from sources that are controlled or owned by a firm
 - Scope 2 and scope 3 are indirect and are related to energy consumption and supply chain
 - Emission data of public companies
- Firm-level institutional data from Thomson Reuters, analyst data from IBES, internal governance data from Refinitiv, media data from Ravenpack

Our Sample

• Annual frequency over the period 2005—2020

- 11,344 global firms with financial, patent (any), and emission data
 - 5,635 firms have at least one green efficiency patent registered over the time period
 - 2,815 firms have at least one brown efficiency patent registered over the time period
- # of patents of all firms is 8,574,197; avg. # per firm is 755.84; avg. # per firm and year is 64.13
- Total number of green (brown) patents of all firms is 649,775 (216,719)
- Average number of green (brown) patents per firm is 57.28 (19.10)
- Average number of green (brown) patents per firm and year is 4.88 (1.57)
- 62,273 observations with complete financial, patent, and emission data (extensive margin)
- # of firm-year observations with either of the two patents matched to Trucost is approximately 28,668 (intensive margin)

Classification of Innovation Activity

- We consider the following three types of innovation
 - i) Green: Technologies that may substitute carbon dioxide emitting technologies for carbon dioxide-free technologies
 - ii) Brown efficiency: Technologies that improve process efficiencies of fossil fuel sources and thus reduce carbon dioxide emissions per output
 - iii) General efficiency: Technologies that improve processes efficiencies and thus reduce carbon dioxide emissions per output
- Classifications:
 - OECD
 - IPC Green Inventory
 - Fossil fuels (FF) efficiency improving classes by Lanzi et al. (2011)
 - Self classification based on Corporate Knights Clean 200
- Examples of innovation classifications:
 - Green: Wind energy
 - Green: Nuclear fusion reactors
 - Brown: Emissions abatement from stationary sources
 - Brown: Oil spill and pollutant clean-up
 - General efficiency: Energy storage
 - General efficiency: Landfilling

Measures of Innovation Activity

- We distinguish between worldwide (less stringent) patents and EUPO (more stringent patents)
- Results presented for EUPO
- We define measures of innovation activity
- GREENRATIOWW: green patents filed at any patent office in the world over the total number of patent filings in that year
- GREENRATIOEP: green patents filed at EUPO over the total number of patent filings in that year
- BROWNRATIOWW: brown patents filed at any patent office in the world over the total number of patent filings in that year
- BROWNRATIOEP: brown patents filed at EUPO over the total number of patent filings in that year

Patent Ratios over Time



(E) PANEL C.1: ANNUAL AVERAGE PATENT RATIO FULL SAMPLE



(F) PANEL C.2: ANNUAL AVERAGE PATENT RATIO LEGACY SAMPLE



Patent Ratios over Time across Regions

(A) FULL (PUBLIC/PRIVATE) SAMPLE





(B) TRUCOST SAMPLE



Empirical Specifications

Baseline Empirical Models: Firm-Level

- Pseudo Poisson MLE (for the extensive margin) and OLS (for the intensive margin)
- Standard errors double-clustered at firm and year dimensions
- Baseline model 1:

Patent Ratio_{f,t} = $b_0 + b_1 log S1_f + \Omega Controls_f + \Gamma_c + \Gamma_{i*t} + e_{f,t}$

• Baseline model 2:

Emissions $_{f,t+h} = b_0 + b_1$ Patent Ratio $_{f,t} + \Omega Controls_f + \Gamma_c + \Gamma_{i*t} + e_{f,t}$; h=1, 3

• Baseline model 3:

CorpVars $_{f,t+h} = b_0 + b_1$ Patent Ratio $_{f,t} + \Omega Controls_f + \Gamma_c + \Gamma_{i*t} + e_{f,t}$; h=1, 3

Empirical Findings I Patent Ratios

Do Firm Emissions and Age Affect Green Innovation?

Panel A: Dependent variable GREENRATIOEP										
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)				
LOGS1TOT	0.084***	-0.029***	0.012	0.092***	-0.051***	0.013				
LOCALER	(0.005)	(0.007)	(0.014)	(0.008)	(0.011)	(0.015)				
LOGSIZE				-0.167^{***}	-0.094***	0.049**				
A ((100)				(0.016)	(0.018)	(0.022)				
Age (7100)				-0.282^{+++}	-0.171^{***}					
LOODDE				(0.032)	(0.030)	0.040*				
LOGPPE				0.122^{***}	0.138^{***}	-0.043^{*}				
				(0.016)	(0.018)	(0.023)				
LEVERAGE				-0.006^{***}	-0.004^{***}	0.001				
				(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)				
ROE (/100)				-0.393***	-0.171^{***}	-0.022				
				(0.058)	(0.055)	(0.039)				
M/B				0.019***	0.019***	-0.004				
				(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.005)				
INVEST/A				0.010***	0.008**	0.005*				
				(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)				
BETA				0.210***	0.090**	-0.017				
				(0.035)	(0.037)	(0.027)				
VOLAT				1.996***	1.377***	-0.006				
				(0.224)	(0.235)	(0.178)				
MOM				0.353	-0.040	0.057				
				(0.460)	(0.454)	(0.289)				
RET				-0.127	-0.243^{**}	0.041				
				(0.122)	(0.116)	(0.073)				
MSCI				0.067**	0.041	0.050				
				(0.032)	(0.031)	(0.035)				
Constant	1.962***	3.181***	3.249***	2.311***	3.070 ^{***}	3.076 ^{***}				
	(0.039)	(0.051)	(0.104)	(0.093)	(0.094)	(0.199)				
Country F.E.	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
Year F.E.	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
Industry F.E.	no	yes	no	no	yes	no				
Industry X Year F.E.	no	yes	no	no	yes	no				
Firm F.É.	no	no	yes	no	no	yes				
Observations	28080	25031	20173	27822	24785	20173				

Do Firm Emissions and Age Affect Brown Innovation?

Panel B: Dependent variable BROWNEFFRATIOEP											
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)					
LOGS1TOT	0.150***	0.066***	-0.080^{***}	0.049***	0.049**	-0.064^{**}					
	(0.008)	(0.012)	(0.030)	(0.013)	(0.020)	(0.032)					
LOGSIZE				-0.262***	-0.056*	-0.072					
				(0.032)	(0.031)	(0.046)					
Age (/100)				0.259***	0.226***						
				(0.044)	(0.050)						
LOGPPE				0.286***	0.041	-0.016					
				(0.033)	(0.031)	(0.052)					
LEVERAGE				-0.004^{**}	-0.000	-0.005^{*}					
				(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.003)					
ROE (/100)				0.542***	0.218**	-0.028					
				(0.107)	(0.098)	(0.097)					
M/B				-0.035^{***}	-0.022^{*}	0.003					
				(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.015)					
INVEST/A				0.000	0.003	0.006					
				(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.008)					
BETA				0.333***	-0.015	0.033					
				(0.062)	(0.058)	(0.047)					
VOLAT				0.417	0.150	0.402					
				(0.465)	(0.529)	(0.492)					
MOM				1.268	0.557	0.535					
				(0.905)	(0.860)	(0.657)					
RET				-0.338	0.062	-0.166					
				(0.232)	(0.236)	(0.179)					
MSCI				-0.003	0.102*	-0.080					
				(0.057)	(0.053)	(0.063)					
Constant	0.349***	2.006***	3.547***	0.940***	2.114***	4.214***					
	(0.064)	(0.100)	(0.236)	(0.170)	(0.181)	(0.457)					
Country F.E.	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes					
Year F.É.	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes					
Industry F.E.	no	yes	no	no	yes	no					
Industry X Year F.E.	no	yes	no	no	yes	no					
Firm F.É.	no	no	yes	no	no	yes					
Observations	27987	20335	12186	27729	20117	12186					

Innovation and Stock of Patents

Panel A: stock since 1990											
	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) GREENRATIOEP BROWNEFFRATIOEP										
LOGS1TOT	0.091^{***} (0.008)	-0.053^{***} (0.011)	0.013 (0.015)	0.057^{***} (0.014)	0.048^{**} (0.020)	-0.064^{**} (0.032)					
PATSTOCKGREENEP (/100)	0.051^{***} (0.004)	0.035^{***} (0.004)	-0.002 (0.003)	× ,		× ,					
PATSTOCKBROWNEFFEP (/100)				0.099*** (0.009)	0.046^{***} (0.008)	$-0.001 \ (0.008)$					
Observations R2	27822	24785	20173	27729	20117	12186					
Std dev dep. var. Std dev LOGS1TOT Eco sig LOGS1TOT	23.98 2.670 0.0102	24.62 2.699 0.00577	25.86 2.584 0.00135	13.37 2.669 0.0113	15.03 2.761 0.00881	18.38 2.495 0.00871					

Results Summary

- Strong evidence of path dependence in the production of innovation:
 - Green firms are more likely to produce green patents; brown firms are more likely to produce brown patents
 - Young (old) firms are more likely to innovate in green (brown) sector
 - Stock of past patents predicts future patenting activity

 \Rightarrow brown companies do not redirect their operations towards environmentally friendly activities \Rightarrow they squeeze out efficiency gains in the brown industry

Empirical Findings II Real Effects

^CThe Impact on Emissions and Other Corporate Decisions

• Much policy action is predicated on the assumption that technological change is the solution to the climate crisis

• But does green/brown innovation significantly reduce carbon emissions?

- Green innovation may lead to more upstream emissions (e.g., solar panel and electric vehicle production require inputs and energy that cause upstream carbon emissions; the case of Tesla)
- With brown efficiency-improving innovation the effect on carbon emission reductions may be limited because of rebound effects (e.g., fuel economy innovations for combustion engine cars may be undone by people driving longer distances; battery life improvements for cell phones may simply result in greater phone usage; the case of Iceland)
- It is unclear how much green and brown efficiency-innovation has affected direct and indirect carbon emissions
- How have companies' innovation activities changed their corporate policies, such as capital expenditures, sales, or cash holdings?

Does Green Innovation Spur Emission Reduction?

Panel C: European Patent Office - green innovation - lag 1										
*	LOGS1TŎT	LOGS2TOT	LOGS3TOT	S1INT	S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH
L1 Green Ratio EP	$\begin{array}{c} 0.021 \\ (0.026) \end{array}$	-0.019 (0.025)	$0.004 \\ (0.016)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.019 \\ (0.070) \end{array}$	$-0.006 \\ (0.010)$	-0.009 (0.018)	0.007 (0.011)	-0.047 (0.100)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005 \ (0.018) \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} -0.027 \ (0.022) \end{array}$
Observations	29587	29587	29586	29587	29587	29587	29587	29580	29580	29025
R2	0.953	0.948	0.978	0.922	0.843	0.961	0.987	0.720	0.956	0.926
Std. dev. dep var	2.667	2.205	2.268	3.995	0.561	1.645	2.127	3.743	1.967	1.743
Std. dev. patent ratio	0.235	0.235	0.235	0.235	0.235	0.235	0.235	0.235	0.235	0.236
Eco sig patent ratio	0.00182	0.00203	0.000420	0.00112	0.00263	0.00123	0.000765	0.00296	0.000640	0.00370

Panel D. European Patent Office - green innovation - lag 3										
1	LOGS1TŐT	LOGS2TOT	LOGS3TOT	S1INT	S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH
L3 Green Ratio EP	$0.030 \\ (0.027)$	$-0.005 \ (0.028)$	0.019 (0.018)	$0.075 \\ (0.072)$	0.007 (0.012)	$0.018 \\ (0.018)$	0.017 (0.017)	$egin{array}{c} -0.397^{***}\ (0.114) \end{array}$	-0.042^{*} (0.022)	-0.026 (0.023)
Observations R2 Std. dev. dep var Std. dev. patent ratio Eco sig patent ratio	25217 0.956 2.661 0.231 0.00265	25217 0.950 2.205 0.231 0.000553	25217 0.976 2.241 0.231 0.00191	25217 0.933 3.923 0.231 0.00443	25217 0.861 0.569 0.231 0.00277	25217 0.967 1.600 0.231 0.00260	25217 0.978 2.134 0.231 0.00180	25212 0.692 3.615 0.231 0.0254	25212 0.947 1.980 0.231 0.00487	24764 0.931 1.757 0.232 0.00339
Country F.E. Year F.E. Firm F.E.	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes

Does Brown Innovation Spur Emission Reduction?

Panel C: European Patent Office - Brown Efficiency innovation - lag 1										
	LOGS1TOT	LOGS2TOT	LOGS3TOT	S1INT	S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH
L1 Brown Eff Ratio EP	$\begin{array}{c} 0.031 \\ (0.043) \end{array}$	$-0.045 \ (0.041)$	$-0.014 \ (0.020)$	$0.045 \\ (0.144)$	$0.008 \\ (0.015)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.017 \\ (0.025) \end{array}$	$0.005 \ (0.018)$	-0.073 (0.147)	-0.014 (0.030)	$0.001 \\ (0.041)$
Observations	29587	29587	29586	29587	29587	29587	29587	29580	29580	29025
KZ	0.953	0.948	0.978	0.922	0.843	0.961	0.987	0.720	0.956	0.926
Sta. dev. dep var	2.667	2.205	2.268	3.995	0.561	1.645	2.127	3.743	1.967	1.743
Std. dev. patent ratio	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.131
Eco sig patent ratio	0.00153	0.00265	0.000790	0.00146	0.00176	0.00137	0.000290	0.00253	0.000919	0.0000413

Panel D: European Patent Office - Brown Efficiency innovation - lag 3										
-	LOGS1TOT	LOGS2TOT	LOGS3TOT	S1INT	S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH
L3 Brown Eff Ratio EP	0.080** (0.040)	$0.015 \\ (0.042)$	-0.003 (0.022)	0.028 (0.139)	-0.008 (0.014)	-0.015 (0.026)	$0.003 \\ (0.024)$	-0.099 (0.162)	-0.009 (0.036)	0.030 (0.039)
Observations	25217	25217	25217	25217	25217	25217	25217	25212	25212	24764
R2	0.956	0.950	0.976	0.933	0.861	0.967	0.978	0.692	0.947	0.931
Std. dev. dep var	2.661	2.205	2.241	3.923	0.569	1.600	2.134	3.615	1.980	1.757
Std. dev. patent ratio	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131
Eco sig patent ratio	0.00394	0.000900	0.000154	0.000941	0.00174	0.00122	0.000214	0.00359	0.000614	0.00222
Controls F.E.	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Country F.E.	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Year F.E.	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Firm F.E.	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes

Green Patent Citations and Future Emissions

Panel C: European Patent Office - green innovation - lag 1										
	LOGS1TOT	LOGS2TOT	LOGS3TOT	S1INT	S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH
L1 Green Cit Ratio EP	$0.034 \\ (0.024)$	0.003 (0.022)	$0.017 \\ (0.014)$	$-0.025 \ (0.058)$	$-0.004 \\ (0.009)$	$-0.005 \ (0.014)$	0.007 (0.010)	$egin{array}{c} -0.185^{**} \ (0.082) \end{array}$	-0.015 (0.016)	-0.011 (0.019)
Observations	28152	28152	28152	28152	28152	28152	28152	28145	28145	27633
R2	0.953	0.947	0.977	0.923	0.842	0.960	0.987	0.726	0.957	0.925
Std. dev. dep var	2.656	2.190	2.243	3.973	0.559	1.636	2.108	3.706	1.955	1.733
Std. dev. pat. cit. ratio	0.260	0.260	0.260	0.260	0.260	0.260	0.260	0.260	0.260	0.261
Eco sig pat. cit. ratio	0.00337	0.000408	0.00201	0.00161	0.00206	0.000729	0.000863	0.0130	0.00200	0.00160

Panel D: European Patent Office - green innovation - lag 3										
_	LOGS1TOT	LOGS2TOT	LOGS3TOT	S1INT	S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH
L3 Green Cit Ratio EP	$0.011 \\ (0.024)$	$0.006 \\ (0.024)$	0.017 (0.015)	$0.054 \\ (0.060)$	-0.000 (0.010)	$0.004 \\ (0.015)$	0.021 (0.015)	$egin{array}{c} -0.274^{***}\ (0.093) \end{array}$	-0.021 (0.019)	-0.010 (0.020)
Observations R2 Std. dev. dep var Std. dev. pat. cit. ratio Eco sig pat. cit. ratio	24338 0.956 2.653 0.258 0.00105	24338 0.949 2.197 0.258 0.000693	24338 0.976 2.232 0.258 0.00191	24338 0.932 3.896 0.258 0.00354	24338 0.861 0.569 0.258 0.000161	24338 0.967 1.593 0.258 0.000577	24338 0.978 2.122 0.258 0.00259	24333 0.694 3.602 0.258 0.0196	24333 0.948 1.974 0.258 0.00268	23914 0.931 1.749 0.259 0.00143
Controls Country F.E. Year F.E. Firm F.E.	yes yes yes yes	yes yes yes yes	yes yes yes yes	yes yes yes yes	yes yes yes yes	yes yes yes yes	yes yes yes yes	yes yes yes yes	yes yes yes yes	yes yes yes yes

Brown Patent Citations and Future Emissions

Controls

Year F.E.

Firm F.E.

Country F.E.

yes

Panel C: European Patent Office - Brown Efficiency innovation - lag 1										
-	LOGS1TOT	LOGS2TOT	LOGS3TOT	S1INT	S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH
L1 Brown Eff Cit Ratio EP	0.067^{*} (0.037)	-0.020 (0.036)	-0.002 (0.017)	$0.133 \\ (0.117)$	$0.003 \\ (0.013)$	$egin{array}{c} -0.012 \ (0.024) \end{array}$	$0.002 \\ (0.015)$	0.022 (0.130)	0.009 (0.027)	-0.007 (0.037)
Observations R2 Std. dev. dep var Std. dev. pat. cit. ratio Eco sig pat. cit. ratio	28152 0.953 2.656 0.141 0.00356	28152 0.947 2.190 0.141 0.00128	28152 0.977 2.243 0.141 0.000111	28152 0.923 3.973 0.141 0.00472	28152 0.842 0.559 0.141 0.000654	28152 0.960 1.636 0.141 0.00105	28152 0.987 2.108 0.141 0.000148	28145 0.726 3.706 0.141 0.000832	28145 0.957 1.955 0.141 0.000671	27633 0.925 1.733 0.142 0.000575
Panel D: European Patent Off	ice - Brown Effi	ciency innovatio	on - lag 3							
	LOGS1TOT	LOGS2TOT	LOGS3TOT	S1INT	S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH
L3 Brown Eff Cit Ratio EP	$0.036 \\ (0.037)$	-0.015 (0.040)	-0.011 (0.021)	-0.057 (0.124)	-0.010 (0.013)	$-0.009 \ (0.024)$	$0.002 \\ (0.021)$	-0.034 (0.139)	-0.006 (0.033)	$0.011 \\ (0.036)$
Observations R2 Std. dev. dep var Std. dev. pat. cit. ratio Eco sig pat. cit. ratio	24338 0.956 2.653 0.141 0.00192	24338 0.949 2.197 0.141 0.000991	24338 0.976 2.232 0.141 0.000691	24338 0.932 3.896 0.141 0.00204	24338 0.861 0.569 0.141 0.00254	24338 0.967 1.593 0.141 0.000836	24338 0.978 2.122 0.141 0.000103	24333 0.693 3.602 0.141 0.00133	24333 0.948 1.974 0.141 0.000405	23914 0.931 1.749 0.141 0.000902

yes

Robustness

• All results robust to:

- Most regions (strongest for the US and Europe)
- Alternative industry classifications
- Energy sector only
- Large fraction of industries
- Legacy sample (with emissions data pre 2016)
- Patent count measures
- Top quintile of patenting activity (intensity)
- Blockbuster patents
- Worldwide patents (weaker scrutiny)
- Dropping firms with M&A (allocation of patents to bidders may be less clear)

Possible Explanations of the Failure of Green Innovation

- The lack of any clear evidence of R&D activity on future carbon emissions and capital expenditure may be due to multiple reasons
 - Filing a patent may only be a first step in a protracted innovation process
 - Most patents are about incremental technological improvements that do not have a wide impact
 - When a technological breakthrough is significant it can affect multiple margins (e.g., for a brown efficiencyimproving innovation the effects could be simultaneously to improve carbon efficiency and sales => overall effect on the level of emissions possibly limited)
 - Many companies are conglomerates and their R&D activity is only a small part of their operations
 - Innovation that is patented is destined primarily to other companies and therefore would not have a significant impact on the company's carbon emissions or capital expenditures

Empirical Findings III Industry Spillovers

Spillovers to Other Companies

- Innovation that is patented is destined primarily to other companies and therefore would not have a significant impact on the company's carbon emissions or capital expenditures
- Look at the effect of innovation on emissions of companies in the same industry
 - Industry-level analysis
 - Distinguish between patenting (directly affected by innovation) and non-patenting (beneficiaries of innovation) companies

Spillovers to Other Companies: Green Patents

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Panel A: European Pate	LOGS1TOT	LOGS2TOT	LOGS3TOT	firms S1INT	S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH	LOGSALES
L1 GREENRATIOEP	$-0.018 \ (0.102)$	0.094 (0.102)	0.001 (0.076)	$-0.230 \\ (0.286)$	-0.007 (0.026)	-0.126^{**} (0.052)	$0.006 \\ (0.080)$	$-0.004 \\ (0.244)$	$0.014 \\ (0.069)$	$0.030 \\ (0.064)$	0.042 (0.071)
Observations R2 Std. dev. dep var Std. dev. patent ratio Eco sig patent ratio	4444 0.910 2.399 0.175 0.00134	4444 0.890 1.877 0.175 0.00875	$\begin{array}{r} 4444\\ 0.917\\ 1.715\\ 0.175\\ 0.000140\end{array}$	4444 0.893 4.055 0.175 0.00991	4444 0.883 0.542 0.175 0.00226	4444 0.966 1.789 0.175 0.0124	4444 0.929 1.867 0.175 0.000526	4444 0.677 2.723 0.175 0.000258	4444 0.891 1.214 0.175 0.00203	$\begin{array}{c} 4439\\ 0.905\\ 1.176\\ 0.175\\ 0.00440\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4443\\ 0.925\\ 1.711\\ 0.175\\ 0.00430\end{array}$
Panel B: European Pate	nt Office - greer LOGS1TOT	n innovation - la LOGS2TOT	ng 3 - patenting LOGS3TOT	firms S1INT	S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH	LOGSALES
L3 GREENRATIOEP	$-0.175 \ (0.155)$	$-0.070 \ (0.149)$	-0.183 (0.127)	$-0.450 \ (0.321)$	0.012 (0.035)	-0.095 (0.063)	-0.123 (0.123)	-0.086 (0.268)	$0.047 \\ (0.097)$	$0.104 \\ (0.076)$	-0.114 (0.123)
Observations R2 Std. dev. dep var Std. dev. patent ratio Eco sig patent ratio	3739 0.901 2.391 0.173 0.0126	3739 0.869 1.866 0.173 0.00651	3739 0.888 1.711 0.173 0.0185	3739 0.892 4.100 0.173 0.0190	3739 0.872 0.549 0.173 0.00394	3739 0.970 1.763 0.173 0.00934	3739 0.895 1.852 0.173 0.0115	3739 0.639 2.688 0.173 0.00551	3739 0.852 1.229 0.173 0.00666	3736 0.891 1.172 0.173 0.0153	3738 0.895 1.712 0.173 0.0115
Panel C: European Pate	ent Office - green LOGS1TOT	n innovation - la LOGS2TOT	ag 1 - non-pater LOGS3TOT	nting firms S1INT	S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH	LOGSALES
L1 GREENRATIOEP	$-0.005 \ (0.094)$	$0.083 \\ (0.095)$	$0.059 \\ (0.081)$	$0.054 \\ (0.358)$	$0.004 \\ (0.028)$	$-0.065 \\ (0.050)$	0.150^{*} (0.082)	-0.301 (0.309)	$0.039 \\ (0.067)$	$0.074 \\ (0.068)$	$0.106 \\ (0.080)$
Observations R2 Std. dev. dep var Std. dev. patent ratio Eco sig patent ratio	3597 0.915 2.671 0.184 0.000312	3597 0.887 2.084 0.184 0.00730	3597 0.893 1.832 0.184 0.00591	3597 0.913 4.641 0.184 0.00215	3597 0.877 0.536 0.184 0.00149	3597 0.977 1.854 0.184 0.00645	3597 0.906 2.140 0.184 0.0129	3597 0.616 3.394 0.184 0.0164	3596 0.881 1.617 0.184 0.00449	3595 0.871 1.489 0.184 0.00916	3596 0.909 1.943 0.184 0.0101
Panel D: European Pate	ent Office - gree LOGS1TOT	n innovation - la LOGS2TOT	ag 3 - non-pater LOGS3TOT	nting firms S1INT	S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH	LOGSALES
L3 GREENRATIOEP	$-0.165 \ (0.115)$	$0.064 \\ (0.115)$	-0.006 (0.099)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.080 \\ (0.245) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.023 \\ (0.031) \end{array}$	0.083^{*} (0.046)	-0.089 (0.096)	$egin{array}{c} -0.787^{**}\ (0.325) \end{array}$	$-0.139 \ (0.093)$	$0.074 \\ (0.077)$	-0.027 (0.095)
Observations R2 Std. dev. dep var Std. dev. patent ratio Eco sig patent ratio	2941 0.918 2.642 0.182 0.0114	2941 0.887 2.046 0.182 0.00570	2941 0.889 1.784 0.182 0.000582	2941 0.924 4.651 0.182 0.00314	2941 0.886 0.536 0.182 0.00776	2941 0.980 1.835 0.182 0.00827	2941 0.906 2.075 0.182 0.00782	2941 0.594 3.223 0.182 0.0445	2941 0.877 1.580 0.182 0.0160	2940 0.873 1.438 0.182 0.00935	2940 0.904 1.885 0.182 0.00265
Controls Year F.E. Industry F.E.	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes

Spillovers to Other Companies: Brown Patents

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	
Panel A: European Patent C	LOGS1TOT	LOGS2TOT	LOGS3TOT	S1INT	S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH	LOGSALES	
L1 BROWNEFFRATIOEP	-0.561^{**} (0.242)	$\begin{array}{c} -0.619^{***} \\ (0.180) \end{array}$	-0.341^{**} (0.138)	-0.787 (0.517)	$egin{array}{c} -0.108^{**}\ (0.047) \end{array}$	-0.014 (0.080)	$egin{array}{c} -0.249^{*} \ (0.139) \end{array}$	$0.320 \\ (0.365)$	-0.112 (0.114)	-0.049 (0.106)	-0.303^{**} (0.131)	\supset
Observations R2 Std. dev. dep var Std. dev. patent ratio Eco sig patent ratio	4444 0.911 2.399 0.106 0.0247	4444 0.890 1.877 0.106 0.0348	4444 0.917 1.715 0.106 0.0210	4444 0.893 4.055 0.106 0.0205	4444 0.883 0.542 0.106 0.0209	4444 0.966 1.789 0.106 0.000817	4444 0.929 1.867 0.106 0.0141	4444 0.677 2.723 0.106 0.0124	4444 0.891 1.214 0.106 0.00977	4439 0.905 1.176 0.106 0.00440	4443 0.925 1.711 0.106 0.0187	-
	LOGS1TOT	LOGS2TOT	LOGS3TOT	S1INT	S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH	LOGSALES	_
L3 BROWNEFFRATIOEP	-0.030 (0.226)	-0.213 (0.221)	-0.181 (0.176)	$0.201 \\ (0.468)$	$egin{array}{c} -0.011 \ (0.042) \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} -0.154^{*} \ (0.090) \end{array}$	$-0.054 \\ (0.164)$	$1.233^{***} \\ (0.454)$	$0.012 \\ (0.136)$	-0.056 (0.123)	-0.057 (0.174)	_
Observations R2 Std. dev. dep var Std. dev. patent ratio Eco sig patent ratio	3739 0.901 2.391 0.107 0.00133	3739 0.869 1.866 0.107 0.0122	3739 0.888 1.711 0.107 0.0113	3739 0.892 4.100 0.107 0.00525	3739 0.872 0.549 0.107 0.00211	3739 0.970 1.763 0.107 0.00933	3739 0.895 1.852 0.107 0.00315	3739 0.640 2.688 0.107 0.0491	3739 0.852 1.229 0.107 0.00109	3736 0.891 1.172 0.107 0.00509	3738 0.895 1.712 0.107 0.00355	
Panel C: European Patent C	Office - brown e LOGS1TOT	fficiency innovat LOGS2TOT	ion - lag 1 - nor LOGS3TOT	-patenting fi S1INT	rms S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH	LOGSALES	
L1 BROWNEFFRATIOEP	$0.175 \\ (0.248)$	0.034 (0.237)	-0.099 (0.206)	$0.679 \\ (0.481)$	0.001 (0.052)	$\begin{array}{c} -0.074 \\ (0.083) \end{array}$	$0.022 \\ (0.253)$	$-0.144 \ (0.472)$	-0.154 (0.190)	0.088 (0.172)	-0.047 (0.205)	
Observations R2 Std. dev. dep var Std. dev. patent ratio Eco sig patent ratio	3597 0.915 2.671 0.107 0.00703	3597 0.887 2.084 0.107 0.00177	3597 0.893 1.832 0.107 0.00582	3597 0.913 4.641 0.107 0.0157	3597 0.877 0.536 0.107 0.000210	3597 0.977 1.854 0.107 0.00430	3597 0.906 2.140 0.107 0.00108	3597 0.615 3.394 0.107 0.00456	3596 0.881 1.617 0.107 0.0102	3595 0.871 1.489 0.107 0.00638	3596 0.909 1.943 0.107 0.00260	
Panel D: European Patent C	Office - brown e LOGS1TOT	fficiency innovat LOGS2TOT	ion - lag 3 - nor LOGS3TOT	n-patenting fi S1INT	rms S2INT	S3INT	LOGPPE	INVEST/A	LOGCAPEX	LOGCASH	LOGSALES	_
L3 BROWNEFFRATIOEP	0.358^{*} (0.210)	$0.065 \\ (0.221)$	$0.240 \\ (0.203)$	0.024 (0.409)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.051 \\ (0.076) \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} -0.149 \ (0.096) \end{array}$	$0.150 \\ (0.180)$	$0.758 \\ (0.623)$	0.273 (0.175)	$0.136 \\ (0.131)$	0.285 (0.202)	$\mathbf{)}$
Observations R2 Std. dev. dep var Std. dev. patent ratio Eco sig patent ratio	2941 0.918 2.642 0.108 0.0146	2941 0.887 2.046 0.108 0.00346	2941 0.890 1.784 0.108 0.0146	2941 0.924 4.651 0.108 0.000554	2941 0.886 0.536 0.108 0.0104	2941 0.980 1.835 0.108 0.00879	2941 0.906 2.075 0.108 0.00784	2941 0.593 3.223 0.108 0.0255	2941 0.877 1.580 0.108 0.0187	2940 0.873 1.438 0.108 0.0103	2940 0.904 1.885 0.108 0.0164	-
Controls Year F.E. Industry F.E.	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	_

Summary: Main Results and Contribution to the Literature

- Energy revolution is frequently presented as a way to address the climate crisis
- Our evidence suggests that more green innovation does not translate into reductions in emissions => consistent with Jevons paradox
- Companies that innovate in green (brown) space tend to be green (brown) companies => consistent with path-dependency argument of Aghion et al. (2016)
- Little evidence of significant spillovers to other firms in the same industry; some evidence that brown innovators capture a greater share of the market and thus negatively affect emissions of other firms (short-run) and positively affect investments (long-run)
- **Contribution to the literature:** the first comprehensive analysis of global green/brown patents with evidence on firm-level emission impact